Court No. - 4

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 19737 of 2018

Petitioner :- Shikha Singh And 48 Others **Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Siddharth Khare, Alok Mishra, Ashok Khare, Sr. Advocate, Kalp Nath, Paritosh Kumar Malviya, Rajesh Kumar Bind, Rajiv

Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Alok Dwivedi, Ashok Kumar

Yadav, Rajiv Kumar Tripathi

Hon'ble Ajit Kumar, J.

(Civil Misc. Impleadment Application No. 6 of 2018)

Application is allowed in terms of Rule 5-A of Chapter XXII of the Rules of Court, 1952.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to carry out necessary impleadment of the parties in whose respect the application has been allowed within three days.

Order Date :- 10.12.2018 IrfanUddin

Court No. - 4

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 19737 of 2018

Petitioner :- Shikha Singh And 48 Others **Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Siddharth Khare, Alok Mishra, Ashok Khare, Sr. Advocate, Kalp Nath, Paritosh Kumar Malviya, Rajesh Kumar Bind, Rajiv

Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Alok Dwivedi, Ashok Kumar

Yadav, Rajiv Kumar Tripathi

Hon'ble Ajit Kumar, J.

(Civil Misc. Impleadment Application No. 7 of 2018)

Application is allowed in terms of Rule 5-A of Chapter XXII of the Rules of Court, 1952.

Learned counsel for the petitioners is permitted to carry out necessary impleadment of the party in whose respect the application has been allowed within three days.

Order Date :- 10.12.2018

IrfanUddin

Court No. - 4

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 19737 of 2018

Petitioner :- Shikha Singh And 48 Others **Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner: - Siddharth Khare, Alok Mishra, Ashok Khare, Sr. Advocate, Kalp Nath, Paritosh Kumar Malviya, Rajesh

Kumar Bind, Rajiv Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Alok Dwivedi, Ashok

Kumar Yadav, Rajiv Kumar Tripathi

Hon'ble Ajit Kumar, J.

This Court on 28.11.2018 had passed a detailed order and in order to give proper hearing, even to those candidates who have been benefited in terms of choice posting in a preferred district because of the fault of the system to be technical, respondents were directed to cause vide publication of notice.

Today a compliance affidavit has been filed by Additional Chief Standing Counsel Sri Abhishek Srivastava, bringing on record the newspaper publication and in response thereof applications have come to be filed for providing opportunity of hearing under Rule 5-A of Chapter XXII of the Rules of the Court, 1952, though styled as impleadment application. This was clearly mentioned in the order that the case will be listed peremptorily and as per the rule and practice of the Court, if the case is listed peremptorily, the same is not adjourned. However, Sri Shailendra, learned counsel appearing for the applicants under Rule 5-A of Chapter XXII of Rules of the Court and also the learned Senior Advocate Sri P.N. Saxena, assisted by Rajiv Kumar Tripathi, learned Advocate pray for three days' time to file proper affidavit in defence of their claim and to which counsels for the petitioners have no objection, time prayed for is allowed. Necessary reply, if any, may be filed within two days, thereafter. The case is adjourned and close date is fixed with an understanding that has been shown across the Bar and in view of the urgency involved in the matter and with a view to meet the ends of justice by the time new session starts, and it is made clear that under no circumstance, the case will be adjourned on the next date fixed.

This Court takes notice of a fact that the recruitment drive was initiated in respect of 68000 and odd vacancies and the ultimate recruitment has been held only in respect of 41000 and odd vacancies. The remaining vacancies are still there and there are

made clear that under no circumstance, the case will be adjourned on the next date fixed.

This Court takes notice of a fact that the recruitment drive was initiated in respect of 68000 and odd vacancies and the ultimate recruitment has been held only in respect of 41000 and odd vacancies. The remaining vacancies are still there and there are

candidates/ petitioners who have not participated in counselling on account of not being offered the preferred district as per the choice shown in their application forms and they claim that their rights may not be prejudiced just because that they have not participated in the counselling.

Sri Abhishek Srivastava, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel shall seek instruction in the matter as to whether the remaining 22000 can be adjusted against these very candidates.

The appropriate instruction must come by the next date.

Put up this case again on 17.12.2018 in the additional cause list.

Order Date :- 10.12.2018 IrfanUddin